



COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO • DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND LAND USE

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW UPDATE APPLICATION

For Applications Covered By A Previously Completed CEQA Document

**SUBMIT FOUR (4) COMPLETED COPIES
AND A COPY OF THE ORIGINAL
APPLICATION FOR AN ENVIRONMENTAL INITIAL STUDY (AEIS)
TO THE DPLU ZONING COUNTER**

Permit/Entitlement applications that are implementing all or portions of a project that was considered in a previous CEQA document (Negative Declaration or EIR) only require an update. The update consists of:

- 1) Ensuring that the application complies with all applicable environmentally related County Ordinances including, but not limited to, the Biological Mitigation Ordinance, Resource Protection Ordinance, Habitat Loss Permit Ordinance, and
- 2) A limited review of the previous CEQA document to determine if any changes to the project, changes in circumstances, or new information result in new or substantially more severe environmental effects that require additional CEQA analysis or documentation.

FOR COUNTY USE ONLY:

Project Number(s): _____

THIS FORM IS BEING COMPLETED BY:

Name (Please Print)

Agency (If applicable)

Title

Date

Address

City

State

Zip

()

Telephone Number

()

Fax Number

Project Location (including APN)

I hereby certify that the statements furnished below and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for adequate evaluation of this project to the best of my ability, that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I further understand that additional information may be required to be submitted before an adequate evaluation can be made by the County of San Diego.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

5201 RUFFIN ROAD, SUITE B, SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1666 • (858) 565-5981 • (888) 267-8770



DPLU #366 (04/03)

Page 1 of 6

PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW DETAIL ---

1. Indicate all ***open and previously approved*** discretionary permit applications filed with the County of San Diego related to the existing application. Indicate the respective case numbers: [Contact the DPLU Zoning Counter for assistance at 1-(888)-267-8770]

2. Yes No Unknown
☐ ☐ ☐ A stormwater management plan has been submitted with a prior related discretionary application.
If yes, indicate application number(s):

3. Yes No Unknown
☐ ☐ ☐ Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) Findings/Biological Mitigation Ordinance (BMO) Conformance have been made with a prior related discretionary application.
If yes, indicate application number(s):

4. ***California Department of Fish and Game Fees:*** If your project has an effect on native biological resources, State law requires the payment of a fee to the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) for their review of the document (Fish and Game Code §711.4). Generally, only one filing fee is required for each project. Please indicate the Fish and Game Fee Status of your project:
Yes No Unknown
☐ ☐ ☐ The project has previously paid for Fish and Game Fees. If Yes, and a receipt of the payment is available, please attach.

Yes No Unknown
☐ ☐ ☐ The project has previously been found to have a "de minimis impact" on fish and wildlife resources.

PROJECT DETAIL ---

I. FEATURES OF THE APPLICATION WHICH DEVIATE FROM, OR WERE NOT DETAILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA DOCUMENT

Describe all deviations of the current application from the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document. In cases where the project description in the previous CEQA document only generally recognizes the portion of the project covered by the current application, please provide additional detail. The narrative must be supplemented by a project plan or map of appropriate scale and legibility with all deviations "Redlined." Also include details such as any boundary adjustments, rezones, or general plan amendments which have involved the project site since the previous CEQA document was adopted/certified. **If there are no deviations from the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document and the project description clearly details the specifics of the present application, please write "None."** Lack of sufficient detail may result in project delay and the requirement to resubmit detailed project information. Use additional sheets if necessary.

II. CODE ENFORCEMENT/VIOLATION ISSUES

Describe any known open or active code enforcement/violation issues on the proposed project site. Indicate related Violation Numbers. If no open or active code enforcement/violation issues are present or known, please state "NONE" or "UNKNOWN", as appropriate. *(Use additional sheets if necessary):*

III. OFF-SITE IMPROVEMENTS/UTILITY EXTENSIONS WHICH DEVIATE FROM, OR WERE NOT DETAILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA DOCUMENT

YES NO

☐ ☐ Are there any necessary off-site improvements/utility extensions either 1) not detailed in the earlier environmental review or 2) deviates from the off-site improvements/utility extensions shown in the previous environmental review? If "Yes", answer the following questions and make sure that any deviations are "redlined" on an attached exhibit/plan. Use additional sheets if necessary.

☐ ☐ Is **construction of new off-site streets or widening of existing off-site streets** proposed which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, describe:

☐ ☐ Is the extension of **sewer/water/electric/gas lines** proposed which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, describe (include distance to the nearest existing lines – in miles or feet, and the location of anticipated connection point):

☐ ☐ Are **new off-site drainage/stormwater/flood control facilities or improvements to the existing off-site drainage/stormwater/flood control facilities** proposed which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, describe:

☐ ☐ Are pedestrian and/or bicycle paths which deviate from or were not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document proposed?

IV. GRADING WHICH DEVIATES FROM, OR WAS NOT DETAILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA DOCUMENT

YES NO UNKNOWN

☐☐☐

Is any necessary grading either 1) not detailed in the earlier environmental review or 2) deviates from the grading shown in the previous environmental review? If "Yes", answer the following questions and make sure that all deviations are "redlined " on an attached exhibit/plan. Use additional sheets if necessary.

☐☐

Will grading or filling, which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document, be required? If yes, discuss deviations from original plan:

Original proposal:

Vol. of cut: _____ cubic yds. Max cut slope ratio: _____ Max. height: _____ ft.

Vol. of fill: _____ cubic yds. Max fill slope ratio: _____ Max. height: _____ ft.

Current proposal:

Vol. of cut: _____ cubic yds. Max cut slope ratio: _____ Max. height: _____ ft.

Vol. of fill: _____ cubic yds. Max fill slope ratio: _____ Max. height: _____ ft.

If soil is to be imported/exported please describe the source of import/export location, if known. *(Use additional sheets if necessary):*

☐☐

Is grading or filling of soil anticipated off-site which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? Explain *(Use additional sheets if necessary):*

☐☐☐

Is blasting which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document anticipated? If so, please indicate possible location of blasting sites on the grading plan & detail the areas expected to be blasted:

☐☐

Are retaining walls proposed which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, discuss deviations from original plan: *(Show all retaining walls on site/plot plan or map)*

Original proposal:

Max. height _____ ft.

Proposed Length _____ ft.

Current proposal:

Max. height _____ ft.

Proposed Length _____ ft.

V. PROPOSED SITE UTILIZATION WHICH DEVIATES FROM, OR WAS NOT DETAILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA DOCUMENT

Yes No

- ☐ ☐ Is the project either a multi-family residential, commercial or industrial project **AND** were building characteristics either 1) not detailed in the earlier environmental review, or 2) deviates from the building characteristics shown in the previous environmental review? If "Yes", fill in only those areas below which deviate from the original project and make sure that these deviations/specifications are shown/"redlined" on an attached exhibit/plan. Use additional sheets if necessary.

Original proposal:

1. Total area _____ sq. ft.
Total net area (total minus area of public street and dedication) _____ acres or sq. ft.
2. Number of buildings _____ Height _____ Stories _____
3. Number of attached residential units _____
4. Number of floor area: Commercial uses _____ Industrial uses: _____
5. Number of off-street parking spaces _____

Current proposal:

1. Total area _____ sq. ft.
Total net area (total minus area of public street and dedication) _____ acres or sq. ft.
2. Number of buildings _____ Height _____ Stories _____
3. Number of attached residential units _____
4. Number of floor area of: Commercial uses _____ Industrial uses: _____
5. Number of off-street parking spaces _____

VI. COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL SITE UTILIZATION Complete **ONLY** for proposed projects associated with Commercial or Industrial development (including Day Care Centers and Cottage Industries). Fill out to the extent known.

A. PROJECT OPERATIONS WHICH DEVIATE FROM, OR WERE NOT DETAILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA DOCUMENT

Original proposal:

1. Number of average daily vehicle trips generated by the project _____
2. Facilities to be open on weekdays from ____ a.m. to ____ p.m. On weekends from ____ a.m. to ____ p.m.
3. Total number of employees _____ Each Shift _____
4. Number of clients, customers, or users EACH weekday _____
5. Radius of the service area _____
6. Total floor area _____ square feet Type of uses _____
7. Number of off-site parking spaces provided _____
8. North American Standard Industrial Classification Code(s)
(<http://www.census.gov/epcd/www/naicstab.htm>):

PROJECT OPERATIONS (cont.) Complete **ONLY** for proposed projects associated with Commercial or Industrial development (including Day Care Centers and Cottage Industries). Fill out to the extent known.

Current proposal:

1. Number of average daily vehicle trips generated by the project _____
2. Facilities to be open on weekdays from ____ a.m. to ____ p.m. On weekends from ____ a.m. to ____ p.m.
3. Total number of employees _____ Each Shift _____
4. Number of clients, customers, or users EACH weekday _____
5. Radius of the service area _____
6. Total floor area _____ square feet Type of uses _____
7. Number of off-site parking spaces provided _____
8. North American Standard Industrial Classification Code(s) (<http://www.census.gov/epcd/www/naicstab.htm>):

B. INDUSTRIAL WASTE WHICH DEVIATES FROM, OR WAS NOT DETAILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA DOCUMENT

YES NO UNKNOWN
1. ☐ ☐ ☐

Will industrial waste be discharged which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, attach a discussion of the provisions for disposal.

2. ☐ ☐ ☐

Will the project result in the use or discharge of hazardous materials including hazardous air emissions (i.e., chemicals, dust, smoke, etc.) which deviate from or were not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, attach a discussion of the pollutants mandated for control and any special permits required. Also answer the following (*Use additional sheets if necessary*):

a. What type of material (s)

b. How often?

C. MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS WHICH DEVIATE FROM, OR WERE NOT DETAILED IN THE PROJECT ANALYZED IN THE PREVIOUS CEQA DOCUMENT

YES NO UNKNOWN
1. ☐ ☐ ☐

Could the project result in the emission of any substances or energy such as odor, vibration, glare, or electrical disturbance (including blasting) which deviate from or were not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document?

2. ☐ ☐ ☐

Will the project contain any unique elements, such as industrial machinery, generators, exterior heavy work areas, outdoor speakers, heavy equipment operation that could generate substantial noise that could be heard outside the project which deviates from or was not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? (Do not include common noise sources associated with all projects such as construction and traffic.)

3. ☐ ☐ ☐

Will the project involve the storage of dangerous materials (e.g., liquefied petroleum gas) which deviate from or were not detailed in the project analyzed in the previous CEQA document? If yes, what safety measures have been taken? Use additional sheets if necessary.